

*Jadpur*  
**PART – I (REPORT)**

**REPORT OF THE EXPERT COMMITTEE**

*For*

**RE-IDENTIFICATION OF AREAS  
TO BE TREATED AS FORESTS  
AS PER THE HON'BLE SUPREME COURT  
ORDER DATED 12.12.1996**

## कार्यालय प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक, राजस्थान जयपुर

क्रमांक:-एफ 16 (सर्वोच्च न्यायालय)97/वसु/प्रमुवस/ 7917

दिनांक 11.10.12

मुख्य वन संरक्षक,  
सूचना एवं प्रौद्योगिकी,  
जयपुर ।


विषय :-माननीय उच्चतम न्यायालय में सिविल रिट पिटीशन 202/95 में पेश  
किये गये अभिलेखों को वेबसाईट पर डालने के क्रम में।

प्रसंग :-आपका पत्रांक एफ (82) वेबसाईट/मुवसं सू.प्रौ./प्रमुवसं/का.आ./  
4530 दिनांक 31.8.12

महादय,

उपरोक्त विषयान्तर्गत प्रासांगिक पत्र के क्रम में आपको कपूर कमेटी की रिपोर्ट  
वेबसाईट पर डालने हेतु उपलब्ध करवा दी गई है। इस क्रम में आवश्यक कार्यवाही करने का  
कष्ट करें।

भवदीय

  
प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक (HoFF),  
राजस्थान, जयपुर



**GOVERNMENT OF RAJASTHAN  
DEPARTMENT OF FORESTS**

No. F.1(45)Van/2012

Jaipur, dated : September 4, 2012

To,


- ✓ 1. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (HoFF)  
Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (WP&FS)  
Rajasthan, Jaipur
3. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests &  
Chief Wildlife Warden,  
Rajasthan, Jaipur.

Sir,

In reference to the meeting held by Chief Secretary with ACS, Forests & Principal Secretary, Revenue on 29/8/2012, you are requested to take the following action:-

1. Copy of notifications of forest land should be compiled forest division-wise and be furnished to concerned district Collectors. A composite set in soft copy should be made available to Revenue Department. These informations should be sent with covering note. In the covering note, it must be mentioned that such land can't be used for non-forestry purposes without permission from GoI.
2. Copy of Kapoor Committee report filed by the State Government with affidavit in Supreme Court must be furnished to all Dy. CFs (Territorial/WL), Collectors and Revenue Department with the extract of 1996 Judgement making the position of applicability of FCA clear. It should also be placed on website along with specific mention of applicability of FCA.
3. Copy of notifications for sanctuaries/National Parks/Tiger Reserves should be compiled and be given to Collector / Revenue Department conveying that the land falling within the notified limits can't be used for non-forestry purposes without permission from Hon'ble Supreme Court if it is private land and Hon'ble Supreme Court & GoI if it is forest land.

Yours faithfully,

  
(C.S. Ratnasamy)  
Secretary, Forests

## REPORT OF THE EXPERT COMMITTEE

Govt. of Rajasthan Constituted State Level Expert Committee vide letter no. 18(162) Forest / 96 Jaipur dated 5<sup>th</sup> Oct., 2002 in pursuance of the direction of Central Empowered Committee constituted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in writ petition no. 202/95 in T.N. Godavaraman v/s. Union of India and others, for re-identification of areas which are to be treated as Forests as per order dated 12.12.96 of Apex Court. The committee was constituted as follows under the Chairmanship of Addl. Pr. Chief Conservator of Forests, Arawali Afforestation Project, Rajasthan, Jaipur :-

- |    |   |                  |
|----|---|------------------|
| 1. | Addl. Pr. Chief Conservator of Forests<br>Arawali Afforestation Project | Chairman         |
| 2. | Conservator of Forests,<br>Working Plan & Forest Settlement             | Member Secretary |
| 3. | Conservator of Forests of the<br>concerned circles.                     | Member           |

The Committee was assigned the following tasks :-

1. Identify areas which are "Forests" of whether they are so notified, recognised or classified under any law, and irrespective of the ownership of the land of such forests.
2. Identify areas which were earlier forests but stand degraded, denuded or cleared, and
3. Identify areas covered by plantation / trees belonging to the Govt. and those belonging to the private persons.
4. To identify the sacred groves such as Orans, Banis, Dev-vans etc. so that these areas could be placed in the category of Forests.

A sub-committee was also constituted for each district to assist the above committee as follows :-

- (i) D.F.O. / D.C.F.
- (ii) S.D.O.
- (iii) Tehsildar



After in depth discussions in the first meeting held on 28.10.2002, detailed guidelines were issued to the concerned officers (C.Fs & D.C.Fs.) for identification of the areas and different proformas were devised for collecting the information from various districts and circulated to all the concerned officers.

Information with regard to different categories of forests as per guidelines was collected as per the details given below :-

1. Legally notified forest areas as Reserved, Protected and Un-classed in Annexure-I.

2. Areas recorded as forest in the Govt. records in Annexure-II.

These lands are recorded as Ge. Mu. Pahad / Billa Naam Sarkar / Village Forest / Gochar / Private Forest / Sewai Chak etc. in the revenue records.

3. Deemed forest area as per the Oxford Dictionary meaning which defines forest as "a track of land covered with trees and under-growth", irrespective of ownership. For this purpose the committee decided the criteria of deemed forest as a compact area of minimum 5 ha. and where naturally growing minimum 200 trees per ha. exist. Information in this category was collected in Annexure-III.

4. The committee deliberated on the Term of Reference No. 2 regarding identification of areas which were earlier forests but stand degraded, denuded or cleared. It was felt that this information cannot be compiled for want of earlier records about the status of forests. The degradation and denudation of forests is a continuous process spread over a long period of time. Unless the baseline information is available it is not possible to clearly indicate the extent of areas which were earlier forests and now stand degraded / denuded. It is only possible to give the current status of forest cover by using Satellite data by adopting a system as adopted by the Forest Survey of India (FSI). The FSI has been making biennial assessment of the country's forest cover using Satellite data.

This is a time consuming exercise and beyond the scope of the Expert Committee. Thus this information has not been given in the report.



5. Information regarding plantations raised by the Forest Department, other Govt. Departments / Institutes and private individuals on non-forest lands such as revenue / panchayat / other department / institutions and private individuals was compiled in Annexures IV, V & VI respectively.
6. The information regarding sacred groves—Orans, Dev-vans, Banis etc., was compiled in Annexure-VIIA and VIIB with regard to areas recorded as forests in government records and areas in deemed forests category as per expert committee guidelines respectively. The details correspond to information compiled in Performa A (Annexure-II) and Performa B (Annexure-III).

The abstract of different categories of forest areas is given in the table-I below :-

**TABLE – I**

S.NO.	PARTICULARS	AREA IN Ha.
(i)	Districtwise Forest area (Classified as reserved forests, protected forests and unclassed forests)	R.F. 1210052.1209 P.F. 1771310.0607 U.F. 227304.8042 <b>Total 3208666.9858</b>
(ii)	Districtwise Forest area with other departments./ institutes recorded as forest in Govt. records.	15561.8246
(iii)	Districtwise deemed forest area (which is not less than 5 ha. and having not less than 200 trees per ha.)	12500.5687
	<b>TOTAL FOREST AREA</b>	<b>3236729.3791</b>

The total forest areas works out to 9.6% of the total geographical area of the State.

Area under plantations as detailed in para 4 above, is given below in table no. 2 :-

*Spencer*

*he*



**TABLE – 2**

**Plantations raised by :-**

S.NO.	NAME OF AGENCY	AREA IN Ha.
1.	Forest Department	32518.0515
2.	Other Departments / Institutions	29307.8784
3.	Private Individuals	2968.8630
		64794.7929

The question whether plantations on non-forest land are to be treated as forest or not was deliberated in committee meeting at length in view of various Supreme Court decisions. Logical conclusion of the analysis made by the committee was that the plantations on non-forest land are not to be treated as forest.

A brief of the analysis made is as under :-

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in its order dated 12.12.1996 in the writ petition (Civil) No. 202 of 1995 T.N. Godaverman versus Union of India and other has defined the term "Forest" and also forest land with reference to Section 2 (i) of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980. According to this, "the word "Forest" must be understood according to its dictionary meaning. This description covers all statutorily recognised forest whether designated as reserved/protected or otherwise for the purpose of Section 2(i) of the Forest (Conservation) Act. The term "Forest land", occurring in section 2, will not only include forest as understood in the dictionary sense, but also any area recorded as forest in the Govt. record irrespective of the ownership. This is show it has to be understood for the purpose of Section 2 of the Forest Conservation Act." In Oxford dictionary, the meaning of the term "Forest" has been given as "a large tract covered chiefly with trees and undergrowth". In Bhargava's dictionary the meaning of the term "Forest" has been given as "a large tract of land covered with trees and extensive wood". Here, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has meant only those tracts which are covered with



natural trees and undergrowth as "Forest" and not the tract which are covered with plantation trees. That is why the Hon'ble Supreme Court has ordered the expert committee constituted by the State Govt. under para 5 of the decision to identify the areas covered by plantation trees belonging to the Government and those belonging to the private persons in a category other than "Forests". This intention of the Hon'ble Supreme Court is further clear from para II (I) of the decision in respect of the State of Jammu-Kashmir where Hon'ble Supreme Court has directed as under :

"There will be no felling of trees permitted in any "forests", public or private. This ban will not affect the felling in any private plantations comprising of trees planted by private persons or the Social Forestry Department of the State of Jammu-Kashmir and in such plantations felling will be strictly in accordance with law".

Here the term "Forest" used by Hon'ble Supreme Court means the "Forest" defined by the Hon'ble Court, but they have made it clear that the "Forest" does not include private plantations or plantations under Social Forestry.

The intention of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of not including the tree plantations in the term "Forest" is also clear from their order in para III(I) of the judgment for the State of Himachal Pradesh and hill regions of State of U.P. and W.B. which is as under :-

"There will be no felling of trees permitted in any forests public or private. This ban will not affect felling in any private plantations comprising of trees planted in any area which is not a "forest" and which has not been converted from an earlier "forest".

Thus, it is very clear that the term "Forest" defined by Hon'ble Supreme Court does not include man-made plantations. Hence a tree plantation on a non-forest land, which was not earlier forest and has not been recorded as forest, does not attract the provision of the Forest Conservation Act, 1980. This has further



been made more clear by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in its decision dated 12.5.2001 in I.A. No. 295 in WP(C)202 of 1995 T.N. Godaverman versus Union of India and others. The relevant portion of the order is as under.

"Felling of trees from forest shall be only in accordance with the working plans/schemes or felling schemes approved by Ministry of Environment and Forests as per this court's order dated 15.1.99. It is again clarified that such working plans, schemes shall also be needed for felling of trees from any Non-Govt. forest area including the land which are required to be treated as "Forest" as per this court's order dated 12.12.96. While implementing the working plans/schemes approved by the Central Government, State Government or the concerned authority, as the case may be, shall ensure that no felling is done unless and until sufficient provisions exists for regeneration of such areas as per this court's direction dated 22.9.2000. For felling of trees from non-forest area including in respect of plantation on non-forest area, detailed guidelines/rules shall be framed by the concerned State Govt., which shall come into effect after the same are concurred with modification, if any, by the Ministry of Environment and Forests".

Though this direction is for the North-Eastern States, but it is amply clear that the term "Forest" does not include plantation on non-forest land.

From the above, it is clear that the tree plantation on non-forest land are not to be treated as "Forest" as defined by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in its order dated 12.12.96.

Area under sacred groves :-	VII A	6637.3084
	VII B	2552.9000
Total		9190.2084


This area is already included under items (ii) (Annexure-II) and (iii) (Annexure-III) of table-I above.


The plantations raised by the different departments on Revenue, Panchayat and other Departments / Institutions and Private Individual lands need to be managed as per provisions of Management Plan prepared for the purpose. However, the State Government may consider transferring the old plantations raised on the Revenue land and other category plantations could be managed by the respective Departments / Institutions as per management plans technically approved by the concerned DFO's / Dy.CF's in whose jurisdiction such plantations are situated.

The Pr. Chief Conservator of Forests, Raj. Jaipur vide his letter no. F16 (Sup. Court) / 97 / FP / PCCF / 1069 dated 27.1.2004 also desired that the Expert Committee should also consider the letter no. II / FC / ROC / 95-2002 dated 28.1.2003 and No. II / FC / ROC / 95-2002 (part II) / 346 dated 15.4.2003 of Govt. of India, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Regional Office, Lucknow with regard to the following 5 categories of the lands :-

1. Gair Mumkin Pahar.
2. Gair Mumkin Rada.
3. Gair Mumkin Behed
4. Banjad Beed
5. Rundh

The committee considered the above five categories of lands in the state within the overall framework of Hon'ble Supreme Court order of 12.12.96 and also the guidelines framed by the Expert Committee for re-identification of forest areas. Out of these whichever areas fulfilled the guidelines have been included in the deemed forest categories mentioned above in table-I.

  
(D.P. Sharma)  
(MEMBER SECRETARY)  
Conservator of Forest  
Working Plan & Forest Settlement  
Aravali Bhawan, Jaipur

  
(R.P. Kapoor)  
(CHAIRMAN)  
Additional Principal Chief Conservative Forest  
Aravali Afforestation Project, Jaipur



### Name and Designation of Expert Committee Members

S. No.	Name of Officer	Designation	Signature
1	R P Kapoor	APCCF, AAP, Jaipur <i>Chairman</i>	<i>[Signature]</i> 24/2/04
2	D P Sharma	CF WP & FS Jaipur <i>Member Secretary</i>	<i>[Signature]</i> 24-2-04
3	M L Meena	CF WC Udaipur <i>Member</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
4	Rajan Mathur	CF Jodhpur <i>Member</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
5	Mahendra Kumar Kurel	CF IGNP Stage I Bikaner <i>Member</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
6	Rajeev Kumar Tyagi	CF IGNP Stage II Bikaner <i>Member</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
7	A S Brar	CF (Wildlife) Jaipur <i>Member</i>	<i>[Signature]</i> 24/2
8	Suresh Chandra	CF AAP Jaipur <i>Member</i>	<i>[Signature]</i> 24/2
9	Anil K Goel	CF Banas Jaipur <i>Member</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
10	Ramesh Raiger	CF Sikar <i>Member</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
11	Amrik Singh Guru	CF (SC) Jaipur <i>Member</i>	<i>[Signature]</i> 24.2.04
12	Bharat Taimni	CF (AAP) & Director WFP Udaipur <i>Member</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
13	R K Grover	CF (EC) Kota <i>Member</i>	<i>[Signature]</i> 24/2/4
14	Mohan Lal Meena	CF Ajmer <i>Member</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
15	A B Ramteke	CF (PT) Sawaimadhopur <i>Member</i>	<i>[Signature]</i> 24/2/04



# ANNEXURE -I

## Abstract Statement Showing The District Wise Forest Areas Classified As Reserved, Protected and UnClass Forests

(Area in Ha.)

S. No.	Name of District	Reserved Forests	Protected Forests	UnClass Forests	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Ajmer	19498.9569	41799.317	11.71	61309.9839
2	Alwar	100606.12	63676.59	14125.3	178408.01
3	Banswara	0.0000	123666.76	0.0000	123666.76
4	Baran	0.0000	222673.87	421.38	223095.25
5	Barmer	0.0000	55882.95	3468.13	59351.08
6	Bharatpur	2873	34130.07	54.04	37057.11
7	Bhilwara	43530	28826.36	7062.26	79418.62
8	Bikaner	0.0000	24184.3520	85282.8550	109467.2070
9	Bundi	81691.7	70286.4	1284.63	153262.73
10	Chittorgarh	157086.88	119519.139	47.01	276653.029
11	Churu	719.77	2372.2	4012.36	7104.33
12	Dausa	13336.89	14711.92	217.09	28265.9
13	Dholpur	791.56	59782.93	3275	63849.49
14	Dungarpur	25708.356	42333.065	1365.032	69406.453
15	Ganganagar	0.0000	23841.669	39502.428	63344.097
16	Hanumangarh	0.0000	15874.9	14400.1	30275
17	Jaipur	47937	30427	643.48	79007.48
18	Jaisalmer	0	12791.7077	23527.3752	36319.0829
19	Jalore	9733.22	29173.93	3488.33	42395.48



20	Jhalawar	40984.228	80342.078	59.12	121385.426
21	Jhunjhunu	602.31	39256.51	676.8	40535.62
22	Jodhpur	0	18861.274	7005.024	25866.298
23	Karauli	6299.073	167034.898	6352	179685.971
24	Kota	93110.794	44037.292	1633.9	138781.986
25	Nagaur	80	20625.32	2935.77	23641.09
26	Pali	81535.07	13946.08	390	95871.15
27	Rajsamand	34697.39	14847.64	44	49589.03
28	Swaimadhopur	74904.007	18782.859	655.68	94342.546
29	Sikar	992	61917.5	854	63763.5
30	Sirohi	61404.156	98472.24	3946	163822.396
31	Tonk	10134	22777	138	33049
32	Udaipur	301795.64	154454.24	426	456675.88
		1210052.1209	1771310.0607	227304.8042	3208666.9858

## ANNEXURE-II

### PERFORMA-A

ABSTRACT OF STATEMENT SHOWING DISTRICT WISE AREAS RECORDED AS  
FORESTS IN GOVERNMENT RECORD WITH OTHER DEPARTMENTS,  
INSTITUTIONS / INDIVIDUALS

(Area in Ha.)

S. NO.	NAME OF DISTRICT	AREA IN HA.	REMARK
1	2	3	4
1	Ajmer	36.9700	
2	Alwar	102.3400	
3	Bundi	732.682	
4	Bhilwara	47.5600	
5	Barmer	2807.6000	
6	Bharatpur	493.2300	
7	Banswara	1060.8800	
8	Baran	0.0000	
9	Bikaner	282.8374	
10	Chittorgarh	712.8630	
11	Churu	101.3598	
12	Dungarpur	55.0000	
13	Daulpur	0.0000	
14	Dausa	60.0000	
15	Hanumangarh	0.0000	



1	2	3	4
16	Jaipur	0.0000	
17	Jalore	710.7100	
18	Jhalawar	0.0000	
19	Jaisalmer	0.0000	
20	Jhunjhunu	0.0000	
21	Jodhpur	174.3100	
22	Karauli	0.0000	
23	Kota	0.0000	
24	Nagore	0.0000	
25	Pali	5266.4737	
26	Rajsamand	106.4600	
27	Sawaimadhopur	0.0000	
28	Sikar	0.0000	
29	Sirohi	2752.3987	
30	SriGanganagar	0.0000	
31	Tonk	0.0000	
32	Udaipur	58.15	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>15561.8246</b>	

**ANNEXURE - III****PROFORMA - B**

**ABSTRACT OF STATEMENT SHOWING DISTRICTWISE DEEMED FOREST AREA  
(WHICH IS NOT LESS THAN 5 Ha AND HAVING NOT LESS THAN 200  
TREES PER Ha.) IRRESPECTIVE OF OWNERSHIP**

(Area in Ha)

S. NO.	NAME OF DISTRICT	AREA IN HA.	REMARKS
1	2	3	4
1	Ajmer	159.7000	
2	Alwar	0.0000	
3	Bundi	5.9200	
4	Bhilwara	184.2700	
5	Barmer	0.0000	
6	Bharatpur	131.2300	
7	Banswara	100.8400	
8	Baran	1174.5480	
9	Bikaner	0.0000	
10	Chittorgarh	134.7800	
11	Churu	0.0000	
12	Dungarpur	465.9500	
13	Daulpur	0.0000	
14	Dausa	5.5300	
15	Hanumangarh	0.0000	



1	2	3	4
16	Jaipur	0.0000	
17	Jalore	953.3300	
18	Jhalawar	0.0000	
19	Jaisalmer	0.0000	
20	Jhunjhunu	1016.9000	
21	Jodhpur	0.0000	
22	Karauli	0.0000	
23	Kota	0.0000	
24	Nagore	0.0000	
25	Pali	2171.6450	
26	Rajsamand	2727.3265	
27	Sawaimadhopur	0.0000	
28	Sikar	530.2700	
29	Sirohi	1177.0970	
30	SriGanganagar	0.0000	
31	Tonk	35.3500	
32	Udaipur	1525.8822	
	TOTAL	12500.5687	

### ANNEXURE-IV

**ABSTRACT OF STATEMENT SHOWING THE PLANTATION DONE BY THE  
FOREST DEPARTMENT ON GOVERNMENT LAND BUT NON FOREST LAND**

(Area in Ha.)

S. NO.	NAME OF DISTRICT	AREA IN HA.	REMARK
1	2	3	4
1	Ajmer	377.0000	
2	Alwar	900.7603	
3	Banswara	0.0000	
4	Baran	303.0000	
5	Barmer	0.0000	
6	Bharatpur	191.1957	
7	Bhilwara	0.0000	
8	Bikaner	0.0000	
9	Bundi	108.8439	
10	Chittorgarh	0.0000	
11	Churu	0.0000	
12	Dausa	132.3000	
13	Daulpur	0.0000	
14	Dungarpur	5328.0000	
15	SriGanganagar	0.0000	



1	2	3	4
16	Hanumangarh	0.0000	
17	Jaipur	720.4700	
18	Jaisalmer	4482.0000	
19	Jalore	3459.0000	
20	Jhalawar	1.5000	
21	Jhunjhunu	0.0000	
22	Jodhpur	11946.00000	
23	Kota	0.0000	
24	Karauli	0.0000	
25	Nagore	34.0000	
26	Pali	0.0000	
27	Rajsamand	701.0000	
28	Sawaimadhopur	1981.7916	
29	Sikar	274.1900	
30	Sirohi	0.0000	
31	Tonk	0.0000	
32	Udaipur	1577.0000	
	TOTAL	32518.0515	

### ANNEXURE-V

**ABSTRACT OF STATEMENT SHOWING THE PLANTATION ON THE  
GOVERNMENT LAND BUT NON FOREST LAND DONE BY THE OTHER  
DEPARTMENTS OR INSTITUTES**

(Area in Ha.)

S. NO.	NAME OF DISTRICT	AREA IN HA.	REMARK
1	2	3	4
1	Ajmer	2011.7195	
2	Alwar	420.0300	
3	Bundi	883.8373	
4	Bhilwara	609.4368	
5	Barmer	0.0000	
6	Bharatpur	1601.1246	
7	Banswara	0.0000	
8	Baran	654.4464	
9	Bikaner	0.0000	
10	Chittorgarh	4.0000	
11	Churu	0.0000	
12	Dungarpur	10313.9100	
13	Daulpur	0.0000	
14	Dausa	1062.0600	
15	Hanumangarh	633.0740	



1	2	3	4
16	Jaipur	2343.8475	
17	Jalore	0.0000	
18	Jhalawar	481.5000	
19	Jaisalmer	0.0000	
20	Jhunjhunu	0.0000	
21	Jodhpur	0.0000	
22	Karauli	0.0000	
23	Kota	1156.1268	
24	Nagore	1022.2400	
25	Pali	0.5658	
26	Rajsamand	1378.3950	
27	Sawaimadhopur	327.9187	
28	Sikar	2983.0000	
29	Sirohi	0.0000	
30	SriGanganagar	0.0000	
31	Tonk	115.2160	
32	Udaipur	1368.4300	
	TOTAL	29307.8784	

**ANNEXURE-VI**

**ABSTRACT OF STATEMENT SHOWING THE PLANTATION DONE BY THE  
PRIVATE PERSON (INDIVIDUAL / PRIVATE LAND)**

(Area in Ha.)

S. NO.	NAME OF DISTRICT	AREA IN HA.	REMARK
1	2	3	4
1	Ajmer	24.2400	
2	Alwar	166.8589	
3	Banswara	27.9340	
4	Baran	31.0155	
5	Barmer	0.0000	
6	Bharatpur	13.1440	
7	Bhilwara	12.0903	
8	Bikaner	0.0000	
9	Bundi	0.0000	
10	Chittorgarh	143.9082	
11	Churu	0.0000	
12	Dausa	38.5000	
13	Daulpur	0.0000	
14	Dungarpur	1234.8500	
15	SriGanganagar	40.3937	



1	2	3	4
16	Hanumangarh	0.0000	
17	Jaipur	801.4047	
18	Jaisalmer	0.0000	
19	Jalore	0.0000	
20	Jhalawar	6.2500	
21	Jhunjhunu	0.0000	
22	Jodhpur	12.5000	
23	Kota	23.8824	
24	Karauli	0.0000	
25	Nagore	47.1000	
26	Pali	2.5000	
27	Rajsamand	193.0082	
28	Sawaimadhopur	8.6316	
29	Sikar	43.1600	
30	Sirohi	0.0000	
31	Tonk	35.4633	
32	Udaipur	62.0282	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2968.8630</b>	

## ANNEXURE-VII

### PERFORMA - A

ABSTRACT OF STATEMENT SHOWING DISTRICT WISE AREAS OF SACRED GROVES ( BANI & DEVVAN ETC. ) FROM AREAS RECORDED AS FOREST IN GOVERNMENT RECORD WITH OTHER DEPARTMENTS / INSTITUTIONS / INDIVIDUALS

(Area in Ha.)

S. NO.	NAME OF DISTRICT	AREA IN HA.	REMARK
1	2	3	4
1	Ajmer	0.0000	
2	Alwar	0.0000	
3	Bundi	0.0000	
4	Bhilwara	0.0000	
5	Barmer	2807.6000	
6	Bharatpur	0.0000	
7	Banswara	0.0000	
8	Baran	0.0000	
9	Bikaner	242.9222	
10	Chittorgarh	0.0000	
11	Churu	69.9715	
12	Dungarpur	0.0000	
13	Daulpur	0.0000	
14	Dausa	0.0000	
15	Hanumangarh	0.0000	



1	2	3	4
16	Jaipur	0.0000	
17	Jalore	710.7100	
18	Jhalawar	0.0000	
19	Jaisalmer	0.0000	
20	Jhunjhunu	0.0000	
21	Jodhpur	0.0000	
22	Karauli	0.0000	
23	Kota	0.0000	
24	Nagore	0.0000	
25	Pali	457.2400	
26	Rajsamand	0.0000	
27	Sawaimadhopur	0.0000	
28	Sikar	0.0000	
29	Sirohi	2348.8647	
30	SriGanganagar	0.0000	
31	Tonk	0.0000	
32	Udaipur	0.0000	
	TOTAL	6637.3084	

**ANNEXURE - VII**

**PROFORMA - B**

**ABSTRACT OF STATEMENT SHOWING DISTRICTWISE DEEMED FOREST AREAS  
OF SACRED GROVES FROM DEEMED FORESTS AREA (WHICH IS NOT LESS  
THAN 5 HA AND HAVING NOT LESS THAN 200 TREES PER HA.)  
IRRESPECTIVE OF OWNERSHIP**

(Area in Ha)

S. NO.	NAME OF DISTRICT	AREA IN HA.	REMARKS
1	2	3	4
1	Ajmer	0.0000	
2	Alwar	0.0000	
3	Bundi	0.0000	
4	Bhilwara	118.2700	
5	Barmer	0.0000	
6	Bharatpur	0.0000	
7	Banswara	0.0000	
8	Baran	0.0000	
9	Bikaner	0.0000	
10	Chittorgarh	0.0000	
11	Churu	0.0000	
12	Dungarpur	0.0000	
13	Daulpur	0.0000	
14	Dausa	0.0000	
15	Hanumangarh	0.0000	



1	2	3	4
16	Jaipur	0.0000	
17	Jalore	610.9900	
18	Jhalawar	0.0000	
19	Jaisalmer	0.0000	
20	Jhunjhunu	243.1200	
21	Jodhpur	0.0000	
22	Karauli	0.0000	
23	Kota	0.0000	
24	Nagore	0.0000	
25	Pali	1205.7400	
26	Rajsamand	0.0000	
27	Sawaimadhopur	0.0000	
28	Sikar	0.0000	
29	Sirohi	374.7800	
30	SriGanganagar	0.0000	
31	Tonk	0.0000	
32	Udaipur	0.0000	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2552.9000</b>	